



Fourth informal interactive dialogue of the United Nations General Assembly on the Responsibility to Protect New York, 5 September 2012

Statement by H. E. Mr. Margus Kolga, Permanent Representative of the Republic of Estonia to the United Nations

I would like to join the others by thanking the PGA for convening this meeting and by expressing gratitude for the SG for his address. In addition, allow me to thank the SG's former Special Advisers Ed Luck and Francis Deng for their work and welcome Mr. Adama Dieng on his new post.

Estonia alignes itself with the EU statement delivered earlier.

In addition I would like to make the following remarks:

There is a remarkable degree of acceptance to the principle of R2P. The discussion we are having is not on the principle as such, but on **common principles of its implementation**, i.e. how to prevent and react to R2P crimes.

Reaction these days is as topical as ever: news on possible R2P crimes reach us on a daily basis. Recently the High Commissioner for Human Rights, Navi Pillay, drew our attention to preliminary findings suggesting that attacks in eastern DRC against civilians – most of them women and children – may constitute crimes against humanity. In addition, several sources, most recently the UN Independent International Commission of Inquiry on Syria, have concluded that crimes against humanity and war crimes have been committed in Syria.

Therefore, it is timely we discuss international response to R2P crimes. In that connection I would like to thank the SG for his report, especially for parts where the **proximity** of the **prevention** and **response** sides of the R2P implementation are outlined. The international criminal justice system is just one example of this assumption: the International Criminal Court (ICC) was established to help end impunity for perpetrators of the most serious crimes. Mandating the ICC to investigate crimes can prove to be a timely and decisive response. Possible and pending investigations by the ICC may prevent further crimes, their escalation or accelerate their termination. Therefore the ICC is an example of one of the R2P tools where the response and prevention sides of the implementation strategy may merge, **illustrating thus that strict sequencing of the R2P pillars would not be useful.**

The report of the SG highlights the range of tools that the UN system, including its principal organs, have, to either prevent or react to R2P crimes. The Human Rights Council is an important organ with essential functions to respond and draw attention to emergencies that might give rise to R2P crimes. Estonia looks forward to becoming a member of the Human Rights Council and to working proactively towards the fulfillment of its mandate from 2013 onwards.

We have noticed that not only in the HRC, but also in other frameworks, there is an increased use of commissions of inquiry, monitoring, reporting or fact-finding mechanisms. These are often useful tools in future pursuits of accountability. We should however pay attention that mandates of these mechanisms be drafted so that they complement and/or assist other judicial processes and do not hamper them.

Justice, whether delivered by domestic or international institutions, is a necessary prerequisite for sustainable development and security in a post-conflict society. Impunity provides fertile ground for the recurrence of conflicts and breeds instability. Thus it is no coincidence that the World Development Report of 2011 refers to transitional justice as one of the core tools to avert cycles of violence. It is however necessary to ensure that

informal justice mechanisms do not preclude access to the formal justice system for those that need or desire it and that the R2P crimes, including gender – based crimes, are dealt only with within the formal justice system.

We should also not forget that it is a primary duty of every state to exercise criminal jurisdiction over those responsible for international crimes, and to provide effective remedies to victims of past violations, including reparation for the harm suffered. Joining the Rome Statute of the ICC does not exempt a country of this obligation: the ICC will only step in where national jurisdictions are unable or unwilling to act.

That brings me to the issue of the necessity to have in place national capacities to investigate and prosecute R2P crimes. It is important that justice and development communities cooperate in designing development programmes with focus on justice for R2P crimes. It is essential, because those responsible for the worst human rights violations are often the very same ones who, by fueling networks of corruption and organized crime, keep societies in a poverty trap.

Finally, let me remind you of the contribution of independent media and empowerment of civil society organizations in paving way for timely response. Their contribution is essential and demands our support.

Thank you for your attention!